## ACCIDENT REPORT ANALYSIS

## By Norman Ugolini, Civil Engineer, E.I.T.

The accident occurred on 14 April 2012 at approximate 7:50 PM on the bypass to Goose Creek, SC at the Ashley Phosphate on ramp to the bypass involving 3 cars.
 Accident site under the bridge

After leaving the curve of the entry ramp, car 1 immediately proceeds across 2 lanes of traffic (not utilizing the merge lane) to the left high speed lane into the path of car 1 and 2 . Two seconds later the accident occurred the bridge.

## Statement by Car 2.

Driver 2 claims driver 1 pulled in front of him after leaving the ramp, then Car 2 hit the brakes, causing car 1 to quickly slow down into car 2 . Car 2 was then hit by car 3 .

## Statement by car 3

Mr. Jennings also told the driver of car 2 that car 1's brake lights were activated prior to impact.

## Highway Patrol Investigation at the scene

The highway patrol office L/CPL McAbee stated to Driver 2 that he found no evidence of the Car 1 braking and reported that car 2 skidded for a distance of 105 ft . These statements are incorrect and could possible be due to the investigation taking place at night on an unlighted highway with the danger of high-speed traffic impleading the data gathering.

## Physical Analysis

The following is an analysis of the PHYSICAL evidence at the crash site, and is not based on statements.

## Data Gathering

The morning following the accident when sufficient light was available N. Ugolini went to the accident site with a witness to take pictures (date/time stamped) of the skid marks and measure the actual distance of any skid marks.

The pictures clearly show two sets of skids marks (see attached).

## Drawing of the physical measurements

This drawing is based on accurate physical measurements of the skid marks using a 100 ft . survey tape. Measurements with a ~ are approximate distances using measured pacing steps.

(see larger drawing in attachments)

## Observed

Skid marks length and number of tracks.

## Analysis

Measured distance indicates car 1 skidded for a measured distance of 91 ft and car 2 skidded for measured distance of 72 ft with 8 ft of separation between the two sets of skid marks. Adding 91 ft plus the 8 ft separation at the beginning of the skid (total of 99 ft ) would account for the investigating officers estimate of approximately 105 ft of skid.

## Observed:

There is 8 ft . of separation between the skids of car 1 and 2 .

## Analysis:

8 ft . of separation between skids, indicates both drivers had their foots on the brake at time of impact. Human reaction time is 1 to 2 sections. If a driver has the foot on the accelerator then 1 second of reaction time at 50 mph equates to 73 ft . of travel distance. At $40 \mathrm{mph}, 1 \mathrm{sec}$ reaction time equates to 56 ft . Since there is only 8 ft . of separation, the spacing of the beginning of the skid could only have occurred if both drivers were braking almost simultaneously.

## Observed:

Car 2 skidded a distance of 72 ft . as the tire tracks moved out of the lane of traffic.

## Analysis

A skid distance of 72 feet indicate a speed 37 mph or less, due to the fact a car with locked brakes takes longer to stop (sliding friction) then when quickly stopping with normal braking. Therefore the skid distance indicates car 2 was traveling slower than 37 mph . See chart.

## Braking/Stopping Distances

| $\mathrm{mph} \sim 70^{\circ}$ | MPH | Ft/Sec, | Braking Deceleration Distance | Perception Reaction Distance | Total Stopping Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 14.7 | 5 | 22 | 27 |
|  | 15 | 22 | 11 | 33 | 44 |
|  | 20 | 29.3 | 19 | 44 | 63 |
|  | 25 | 36 | 30 | 55 | 85 |
|  | 30 | 44 | 43 | 66 | 109 |
|  | 35 | 51.3 | 59 | 77 | 136 |
|  | 40 | 58.7 | 76 | 88 | 164 |
|  | 45 | 66 | 97 | 99 | 196 |
|  | 50 | 73.3 | 119 | 110 | 229 |
|  | 55 | 80.7 | 144 | 121 | 265 |
|  | 60 | 88 | 172 | 132 | 304 |
|  | 65 | 95.3 | 202 | 143 | 345 |
|  | 70 | 102.7 | 234 | 154 | 388 |
|  | 75 | 110 | 268 | 165 | 433 |
|  | 80 | 117.3 | 305 | 176 | 481 |

## Observed

At the time of the accident, car 2 was ONLY damaged on the right side of the hood and the right quarter panel after being hit by two cars.

## Analysis

It is important to note that the skid of car 2 was due to the back tires. Vehicular weight during a hard stop transfers to the front of the vehicle unloading the back of the car causing a rear wheel skid.

When car 1 and 2 collided, the force of the collision on the right front of car 2, created a coupled moment around the center of mass of car 2 . The force on the right front combined with the unloaded locked rear tires, caused a rotation the back end of car 2 toward retaining wall (skid marks). The rotation exposed the passenger side of the car. Rotation was significant enough that only right front fender of the car 2 was damaged, leaving the entire right side of the car 2 untouched.

Car 3 was only lightly damaged on the left front fender and was able to drive away from the scene of the accident after hitting car 2.

## Observed

Driver 2 reported Car 1 was in the left most lane for 2 seconds prior to impact.

## Analysis

The distance from the end of the on ramp to the point of impact is approx. 190 ft .
Assuming car 2 was traveling at 40 mph leaving the on ramp (highly unlikely) the accident had to occur 3.27 seconds after leaving the on ramp ( 190 ft . / 58 ft . @40 mph).

It took approx. 74 feet or 1.27 sec for car 2 to travel from the ramp to the high-speed lane ( $74 \mathrm{ft} .58 \mathrm{fps} @ 40 \mathrm{mph}$ ). Car 2 was established in the high-speed lane for 2 seconds or less traveling at 40 mph or less.

## Conclusion

- Car 1 moved across 2 lanes of traffic 3 seconds after leaving an on ramp with a clearly marked merge lane.
- Car 1 traveled from the on ramp into the high speed lane in 74 ft . or less and did not use the merge or center lane.
- Car 1 was established in the high-speed lane for approximately 2 seconds or less traveling at 40 mph or less.
- At the time of impact, car 2 traveling in the high speed lane had significantly slowed down from the posted 60 mph to approximately 35 mph or less.
- No conclusion can be made from the physical evidence as to which driver put the brakes on first, but with 8 ft . of skid separation it can be clearly shown both car 1 and 2 had their feet on the brake at the same time with milliseconds of difference between application.
- The minor amount of damage to all cars indicate at point of impact the closure speed of the vehicles was very low.


Figure 1: Diagram of accident site. NOTE: DRAWING IS NOT TO SCALE


Photo 1: Ramp, just prior to prior to entry onto the interstate ramp.


Photo: Just after officer left scene of accident indicating 2 sets of skit marks.


Photo: Start of accident skid marks. Note two sets of skids and position under the bridge $\sim 190 \mathrm{ft}$ from on ramp (where the truck is entering the highway).


Photo: Start of skid. Clearly shows both car 1 and 2 were skidding at time of impact.


Beginning of skid. Note the distance between the first and second set of skids measured at 8 ft .


Beginning of skid.


Midpoint of skid


Note: Two skid marks on left is from car 2, the two skid marks on right is from car 1 which extend beyond car 2's marks.


Photo: End picture of skid


Composite of entire skid.

## Vehicle Damage



Damage to car 1 confined to left rear



Damage to car 2 confided to the right front of car. No other damage occurred.


At scene, at time of accident. Dusk lighting at approximately 8 pm .


Damage to car 3 confined to left front quarter panel and bumper. No other damage occurred.


Site conditions at time of investigation by highway patrol. Approximately 9 to 9:30 pm.

